

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/604,314	OLSCHEWSKI, FRANK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hadi Akhavannik	2624	

All Participants:

(1) Hadi Akhavannik.

(2) Maria Eliseeva (43328).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
no rejection discussed

Claims discussed:
claim 1 5, 9, and 14


Prior art documents discussed:
Crawford 4989142

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner explained the Applicant that claims 1 and 9 would be allowable if they incorporated the dependent claims 5 and 14. The Examiner also discussed prior art Crawford. The Applicant agreed to amend the claims as discussed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOSEPH MANCUSO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)